

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,572	NAIDU ET AL.
	Examiner Wes Tucker	Art Unit 2624

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Wes Tucker. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Toby Kusmer Reg. No. 26,418. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 January 2007

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

42 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

#### Part II.

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner obtained Mr. Kusmer's permission to correct dependency issues for claims 42 and 44.*

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)